# PAUL RYAN PRESENTS:

The same old, ineffective way...

A Better Way to Fight Poverty

SPEAKER RYAN, please make sure you see the last page analysis and your final grade...

As reviewed by Sister Simone Campbell SSS



SO MAYBE we are missing each other on the definition of A GOOD JOB? To me, a good job pays you enough to live on and provides basic decent benefits like paid sick leave and health insurance. Pope Francis has also said "together with a culture of work, there must be a culture of leisure as gratification. To put it another way: People who work must take time to relax, to be with their families, to enjoy themselves, read, listen to music, play a sport."

#### **REWARD WORK**

A good job is the surest way out of poverty. This has been proven time and again. For example, after the government instituted work requirements in 1996, employment rates for single mothers with children increased, while child poverty declined dramatically.

But that was just one program. Most welfare programs do not actually require or even encourage work, making it that much harder for you to start on the path to a better life.

Our plan starts with work, not welfare If you are capable, we will expect you to work or prepare for work.

Our plan includes:

...and we will provide the very basic supports you need to make it possible for you to work affordable child care, time off to take care of your children

Stronger work requirements under the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families program, requiring states to connect more
people with good jobs. We should reactivities TANF by restoring its core purpose, ending toppoles that cares have used to
caveid tasping coople find work.
 REFORM

to help struggling families get back on their feet

- Changes that allow non-custodial parents—who can have a hard time finding work given past transgressions—better access to
  job training and skills development, so they can make more money and meet child-support obligations.
   Are we banning the box here, Speaker
   Ryan? Giving the formerly incarcerated
- Reforms that expand work requirements to include housing benefits, like federal rental assistance. Some 44 percent of workcapable households using federal rental assistance report no annual income from wages. For people who can work or prepare for work, we should align housing benefits with TANF benefits to get more people on the path to self-sufficiency.

Speaker Ryan, have you ever actually met anyone on rental assistance?? Again, join us on the bus. As Pope Francis says, "Ideas disconnected from realities give rise to ineffectual forms of idealism and nominalism, capable at most of classifying and refining but certainly not calling to action."

- A new approach to unemployment insurance that helps get people who are out of work back to work as soon as possible. The basic structure of the UI program has remained unchanged since the New Deal. We should give states greater flexibility to help people find a new job more quickly, and test those ideas to make sure we are getting results.
  - / The president has some good ideas here. This work is so important we should work together on it, not in party silos.

- Are we giving these adults a way to care for their children when they are at work? Are we allowing them to stay on Medicaid so their kids can see a doctor if they go to work?
- Measures to encourage work-capable adults in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) to work or prepare for work. The increasing output of SNAP recipients are work-capable adults who do not have dependents <u>and</u> are not seeking work. The VAST majority NOT

A new focus for the Supplemental Security Income program that means more children will have access to special education services, and mental or physical therapies. Children who come into the SSI program stay in it, on average, for 26.7 years. Instead of just limiting our options to cash assistance without accountability, we should be offering children services to help them get on the path to a productive life.

## TAILOR BENEFITS TO PEOPLE'S NEEDS

We should always reward work, but the sistem often does the exact opposite.

Under the status quo, as people start to make more money, they lose benefits. On its face, that makes sense. But since people are rarely on one program, that loss can be sudden and substantial. That's why this is often referred to as the "poverty trap." So, you may get a raise and actually lose money. You may get married and end up worse off financially. In other words, you are punished for working hard and doing the right thing.

L Last we checked, Mr. Speaker, YOU are part of Washington.

What's going on here? Well, Washington looks at your needs in isolation, whether it is food, housing, energy, or child care. It doesn't see how your needs interact. It doesn't adapt to you. It just pushes you along the conveyor belt. It is one-size-fits-all, all the way.

Our plan recognizes that everyone's needs and strengths are different. We will match poverty-fighting programs with your needs more effectively so that it's easier for you to get back on your feet.

Our plan includes:

# , Code for block granting

 New authority for states to link poverty programs so that, instead of a one-size-fits-all strategy, you benefit from a more holistic approach. This means that if you would be penalized under current programs for getting married or working more hours or getting a promotion, states could repackage your benefits to help you find a path forward. Kind of like they did with

expanding Medicaid...Oh wait.

• A commonsense approach to the way the federal government matches state and local spending so that, instead of a one-size-fits-all rate, we back the programs that work and wind down the ones that don't.

- Greater portability for housing assistance so that, instead of being consigned to poor neighborhoods, you can move to areas with more jobs and opportunities. 
   Let's see. So we condemn poor communities and hope some people will move out? How about improving those communities so everyone has safe homes, access to grocery stores, and reliable public transportation?
- A new commitment to consolidate and streamline programs, so people get better service and have a clear understanding of where they need to go for help.
   Red Flag! This USUALLY MEANS cut government jobs.

#### **DEMAND RESULTS**

The measure should be how it actually affects people living in poverty

# Speaker Ryan, you should use this measure to see how this plan would actually work!

The system is failing you, but it doesn't want you to know that. Washington measures success by the amount of money it spends and the number of programs it creates. We need to open up the system, so there is both accountability and collaboration. That means more voices at the table and more tools to identify what is working and what is not.

This is ironic. Your War on Poverty hearings only included testimony from ONE person experiencing poverty! If that's your standard, there DEFINITELY needs to be more voices at the table.

Like?

We will open up the system to accountability and collaboration, backing ideas that work on the front lines. Instead of passing laws

and hoping for the best, we will demand results for you and all taxpayers every step of the way.

Our plan includes:

- A pay-for-results partnership in which the government collaborates with private-sector providers seeking to raise capital for effective social programs. Through social impact financing, if—and only if—the program achieves its stated goals, the government repays the investment. So ministries are supposed to front the money and then WAIT for a Congress that can't so much as pass a budget to pay them back?
- A tier-based funding formula that promotes the development of new ideas, rigorously evaluates those concepts, and then backs those ideas that are proven to be effective.
- A pay-for-outcomes model that promotes competition among the states, and shuts down programs that are letting people down.
- New tools to better assess results, from common metrics to improved data collection and coordination.

#### **IMPROVE SCHOOLS AND SKILLS**

# Are we back to the welfare queen trope here? Can we please let this horrible stereotype go?

Too many Americans don't just fall on hard times; they are born into it, and they never get out. Most poor kids are part of families with single mothers, which can pose additional challenges it isn't enough to get parents working and leave it at that. To break the cycle of poverty, we have to protect the next generation from poverty.

This is yet another area in which Washington's approach is disjointed. For example, there are 45 separate federal programs dedicated to early childhood, including a dozen just for education. Each program has its own set of rules and regulations. The programs overlap with each other, and can't be matched up with the needs of local communities. What gains are made, don't last.

If we treat poor kids like statistics, they will become statistics. We should see the potential in every child, and surround each one with opportunities, so that every child has a better shot at a good life.

## We will make sure poor kids have more opportunities to succeed at every stage, from childhood through college.

Our plan includes:

 Measures to improve early childhood development, supporting ideas that work on the front lines, and making sure parents are fully informed about the choices they have for their kids' care and education.

We have to think beyond informing, we need programs that provide opportunities!

A more inclusive approach to help at-risk youth stay on the path to rehabilitation, tailoring benefits and services to each child's

needs and challenges. Does this include sentencing reform?

- Reforms to child nutrition programs, so that we help the most vulnerable children—especially those in rural and low-income areas—get better access to healthy meals.
- Changes to improve job training, so that more workers can be matched with the skills needed to fill jobs in their communities.
- A modernization of the Pell Grant program that allows students to use those grants year-round if they want to accelerate their coursework, and financial aid reforms to make it easier for students to pay for college and pay back their loans.

• Speaker Ryan, how about adding: ALL WORK HAS DIGNITY, and that dignity must be respected by PAYING ALL WORKERS A LIVING WAGE?!

Catholic Social Teaching SHOULD have taught you that!

# PLAN AND SAVE FOR THE FUTURE

Once you are on your feet, with a good job and a steady paycheck, you should have the ability to capitalize on that success. In many ways, this is the last rung on the ladder of the opportunity, toward a life of dignity and fulfillment.

Unfortunately, the tools to save remain beyond the reach of too many Americans. Nearly 9.6 million households in America do not have bank accounts. Another 24.8 million households have a bank account but use services outside the financial system. This means people have to hand over money just to cash a check, can't get access to responsible credit, or have to keep money in unsafe places.

#### We will make it easier for you and your family to plan for the future and be retirement-ready.

Our plan includes:

- Reforms that expand access to 401 (k)s, making it easier for small businesses to band together and provide them for their employees.
- <u>Reforms</u> that promote access to affordable retirement advice, providing low- and middle-income families the help they need to plan for retirement.
   <u>Maybe like the new Labor Department rule that required financial experts giving clients retirement advice to act in the best interest of those clients?</u>



Speaker Ryan, this totally misses the mark. Catholic Sisters and all of NETWORK are thrilled that you recognize government has a role in promoting the common good and ensuring all humans can live in dignity. But Catholic Sisters and the members of NETWORK Lobby for Catholic Social Justice had hoped for more than recycled ideas that have been tried and failed. Instead of slapping a little duct tape over the torn fabric of our society, we had been hoping that you would offer ideas to truly mend the gaps. We must focus on the gaps between what people have and what they need (and deserve) to reach their full potential. To work for the common good, we must mend the currently widening income and opportunity gaps that keep us all from coming together as a community.



Here's me at one of your War on Poverty hearings...

A true anti-poverty agenda would include actions we can take right now here's 10 for starters, which if you had done your homework you would know. But it also addresses the real breadth of poverty in this country: There is POVERTY OF HOPE - the sense in some communities that nothing will ever change; and there is POVERTY OF HEALTH - a lack of opportunity to get basic care. There is POVERTY OF TIME - a parent working two or three jobs who gets home to kiss the kids goodnight only after they're asleep; and POVERTY OF POWER - people robbed of their right to vote and their voice in the political process. And of course, there is POVERTY OF VALUES - the belief that more money is the end goal at any cost, which allows us to condone paying workers less than they need to survive, let alone live, in our society.

We had hoped for better: Sister Simone Campbell SSS